

**DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCE
REPORT OF APPEALING TAXING UNIT TO THE
LOCAL GOVERNMENT TAX CONTROL BOARD**

THE INFORMATION REQUESTED MUST BE COMPLETED IN TOTAL FOR EACH APPEAL TO BE CONSIDERED. THE REQUIRED INFORMATION MUST BE FILED WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCE ON OR BEFORE **SEPTEMBER 19, 2008**, OR BEFORE DECEMBER 31, 2008 FOR A PROPERTY TAX SHORTFALL APPEAL PERTAINING TO IC 6-1.1-18.5-16. FORWARD TO THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCE THIS PAGE, PAGES APPLICABLE TO THE APPEAL(S) TO BE CONSIDERED, CERTIFICATION PAGE AND ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION ONLY. **DO NOT FORWARD UNUSED PAGES AND DO NOT SUBMIT MORE THAN ONE APPLICATION; CHECK ALL APPEALS THAT YOU ARE APPLYING FOR ON THIS PAGE AND SUBMIT APPROPRIATE WORKSHEETS. THIS APPEAL MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCE DIRECTLY – DO NOT SUBMIT WITH BUDGET PAPERWORK SENT TO THE COUNTY AUDITOR.**

TAXING UNIT: ___ West Lafayette ___ COUNTY ___ Tippecanoe ___

FISCAL OFFICER: ___ Judith C. Rhodes – Clerk-Treasurer ___

ADDRESS: ___ 609 West Navajo ___

CITY/STATE/ZIP: ___ West Lafayette, Indiana 47906-1995 ___

TELEPHONE: ___ (765) 775-5150 ___ FAX: ___ (765) 775-5159 ___

E-MAIL ADDRESS: ___ clerk@westlafayette.in.gov ___

PLEASE INDICATE BELOW THE TYPE AND AMOUNT OF APPEAL TO BE CONSIDERED

- \$ 600,000 Annexation, Consolidation or Extension of Services

- \$ _____ Three Year Growth Factor Exceeding 1.02% of Statewide Growth Factor

- \$ _____ Emergency Levy Appeal

- \$ _____ Correction of Advertising, Mathematical or Data Error

- \$ _____ Property Tax Shortfall Due to Erroneous Assessed Value

For consideration before the Local Government Tax Control Board *all submissions must include, in addition to the information required for the type of appeal under consideration, the following:* (Please indicate by a [√], or explanation of exclusion, attach indicated items.) **All copies must be collated and ready to forward to the Local Government Tax Control Board members.**

- [√] Copy of Appeal Worksheet and Signed Certification.
(Only submit the worksheet(s) that is applicable to the appeal(s) for which you are applying.)
- [] Copy of Ensuing (following) Year Maximum Levy Sheet
Not yet received from DLGF
- [√] Copy of Ensuing (following) Year Budget Proof of Publication
- [√] Copy of Estimate of Miscellaneous Revenue (Budget Form 2) for Funds Under Appeal
- [√] Copy of “16 Line” Financial Statement (Budget Form 4B) for Funds Under Appeal
- [√] Copy of Resolution from Fiscal Body Approving the Excessive Levy Appeal.
- [√] Eight (8) copies of all of the above including the appeal worksheet and the information required for the type of appeal under consideration.
- [√] All documentation required for specific appeals per list on specific appeal worksheet(s).

NOTICE

THIS FORM AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION AS REQUESTED MUST BE FILED WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCE ON OR BEFORE **SEPTEMBER 19** OF THE CALENDAR YEAR IMMEDIATELY PRECEDING THE ENSUING BUDGET YEAR, OR BY **DECEMBER 31 FOR SHORTFALL APPEALS.** SUBMISSIONS BEARING POSTMARKS OF SEPTEMBER 19 OR DECEMBER 31 (IF APPLICABLE) OR BEFORE WILL BE HONORED. IN ADDITION, THE PROVISIONS OF IC 6-1.1-17-3(A)(4) REQUIRES THAT ANY REQUESTS FOR EXCESSIVE LEVY APPEALS BE PUBLISHED AS A PART OF THE NOTICE TO TAXPAYERS OF THE ESTIMATED BUDGET. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH IC 6-1.1-17-3(A)(4) MAY BE CAUSE FOR DENIAL. ALL REQUESTS FOR CONSIDERATION FOR AN APPEAL MUST BE SPECIFIC.

APPEALS MUST BE FILED WITH THE DLGF CENTRAL OFFICE IN INDIANAPOLIS TO BE CONSIDERED.

Notice: All above requested information must be submitted with the appeal. Failure to comply may result in denial of the appeal.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Please complete the following for funds within the maximum levy, rounded to the nearest dollar
(do not include debt or cumulative funds):

Operating Balance (line 11 on Fund Report)	2006	2007	2008	2009 (proposed)
Fund: General	\$ 981,780	\$222,691	\$ 91,266	\$1,432,620 (1)
Fire Pension	\$ 428,585	\$155,941	\$163,917	\$1,034,154
Police Pension	\$ 491,865	\$244,004	\$341,693	\$ 253,588
Park & Rec	\$ 6,795	\$ 718	\$ 43,108	\$ 50,000 (1)
Total	\$1,909,025	\$623,354	\$639,984	\$2,770,362

Jan. 1st Cash Balance	2006	2007	2008	2009 (estimated)
Fund: General	\$2,552,857	\$2,032,333	\$1,323,719	\$ 914,929
Fire Pension	\$ 315,227	\$ 693,747	\$ 881,000	\$ 212,169
Police Pension	\$ 438,927	\$ 555,525	\$ 601,888	\$ 246,274
Park & Rec	\$ 143,272	\$ 108,913	\$ 117,564	\$ 154,759
Total	\$3,450,283	\$3,390,518	\$2,924,171	\$1,528,131

Unit's Total Rate (line 17 on Fund Report)	2006	2007	2008	2009 (proposed)
General	.5536	.5609	.5885	.9420
Fire Pension	.0246	.0191	.0000	.0000
Police Pension	.0231	.0048	.0000	.0000
Park & Rec	.0946	.0994	.0903	.1077
Total	.6959	.6842	.6788	1.0497

Revenue History	2006 - Actual	2007 - Actual	2008 - From 1782	2009 (proposed)
Levy (line 16 for all funds)	\$7,232,347	\$7,735,908	\$7,991,063	\$8,674,860 (1)
CAGIT (Budget Form 2)	\$	\$	\$	\$
CEDIT (Budget Form 2)	\$1,298,828	\$1,301,252	\$1,299,492	\$1,415,694
COIT (Budget Form 2)	\$1,467,663	\$1,397,880	\$1,466,657	\$1,574,884
Misc. Rev. (Other) (Form 2)	\$5,115,634	\$5,680,740	\$4,809,726	\$5,574,582

(1) Advertised higher operating balances than expected because of the uncertainty of the effect of HB 1001 on levies.
Advertised an additional \$500,000 in General and \$50,000 in Parks & Rec.

Total District Rate (found on our web site)	2005	2006	2007	2008
West Lafayette City – WLSC - B	2.8483	2.9924	3.0394	2.9899

Tax Rate Impact:

- A. 2008 Net assessed value \$1,112,651,530 _____
- B. Total amount of appeal(s) \$ 600,000 _____
- C. Unit's Rate Impact of appeal(s) = [B / (A/100)] \$.0539 _____ (to four decimal places)
- D. District Rate Impact = C / 2008 Total District Rate \$.0018 _____ (to four decimal places)

Did the Fiscal Body approve this excessive levy appeal(s)? Yes No Vote _____
(Please submit resolution/ordinance approving appeal)

Was there any opposition or objectors to the excessive levy appeal? Yes No
If yes, please provide a summary of the objection:

Did you advertise an excessive levy appeal(s) in Column C of the ensuing year's budget?
 Yes No (Please attach copy of ensuing year's budget proof of publication).

Notice: All above requested information must be submitted with the appeal. Failure to comply may result in denial of the appeal.

ANNEXATION, CONSOLIDATION, EXTENSION OF SERVICES
(IC 6-1.1-18.5-13a(1))

1. State the time frame of annexations to be considered.

As of March 1: Year 2007 Year _____ Year _____

2. In consideration of question 1 above, what levy increases were granted under IC 6-1.1-18.5-3(b) for each budget year as certified by the County Auditor? (This question relates to increases in the maximum levy that were granted as a result of the increased assessed value at the time of annexation.)

Budget Year 2008 Adjustment Made \$93,425 _____

Budget Year _____ Adjustment Made \$ _____

Budget Year _____ Adjustment Made \$ _____

3. Specifically what types of services will be needed and/or increased due to the annexation?

See attached.

4. State, for *each year* of annexation and for the budget classification indicated below, the increased expenses due to annexation for which the appeal should be considered. (Attach separate sheets, if necessary.)

Annexation	Year <u>2009</u>	Year _____	Year _____	Total
Personnel	\$449,752	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
Supplies	\$ 11,864	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
Other	\$127,673	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
Capital Outlay	\$ 10,711	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
Total	\$600,000	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____

Note: The above is required to be completed for consideration of this appeal.

5.		APPEAL AMOUNT
(a)	Total Amount of Appeal (must be supported by question 4 above)	\$600,000 _____
(b)	Total amounts from question 2 above	\$ 93,425 _____
(c)	Line (a) – (b)	\$506,575 _____
(d)	Number of years attributable to line (a) above	<u>1</u> _____
(e)	Divide line (c) by line (d)	\$506,575 _____

Note: If a unit is appealing for multiple years, consideration will only be given to the *average* budget increase over the period of annexation.

6. Does the total amount requested match the amount in the Fiscal Plans for each annexation (include copies of all annexation resolution/ordinances and any Fiscal Plans for each annexation). _____ Yes X No
 If No, please explain differences:

See attached.

7. Has this unit transferred funds to the Rainy Day Fund during this budget year or the immediately preceding budget year? (If yes, please state the amount and the fund from which the transfer was made. If no, does the unit plan to transfer funds to the Rainy Day Fund in the near future?) () Yes (X) No

If Yes: Fund _____ Amount \$ _____

If No: _____ Yes X No

Notice: All above requested information must be submitted with the appeal. Failure to comply may result in denial of the appeal.	4
--	---

City of West Lafayette Annexation, Consolidation, Extension of Services Appeal

Question #3: Specifically what types of services will be needed and/or increased due to the annexation?

To acquire territory that is needed and will be used by the City of West Lafayette for continued development, the City voluntarily annexed an area of approximately 1,173 acres, located on the north side of the existing corporate boundaries effective August 17, 2006 with the first year of tax collections in 2007 payable 2008. Approximately one third of the acreage of the annexed area was designated for Purdue Research Park Phase III and has also been included in the expanded Purdue Certified Research Park. The remaining two thirds of the acreage is zoned residential. There are currently 160 households in the annexed area with a certified assessed value of \$13,699,130. Based upon square mile before and after, the annexation increased the size of the City by 31%. To provide non-capital City services by August 17, 2007 at the same level as those provided throughout the corporate limits prior to this annexation required the expansion of public safety and certain other general City services as described and quantified in further detail below.

FIRE/EMS SERVICES:

Prior to this annexation and since the 1960's, the West Lafayette Fire Department provided fire protection and first responder medical services from two stations. Station 1, located at 300 North Street is at the southern end of the City in the center of the densest population area of the City. It was originally constructed in 1917 and is one of the oldest active stations in the state. Station 2, built in 1964, is on the corner of Salisbury and Navajo Streets about 2 miles north of Station 1 and 1.5 miles south of the most southern portion of the annexed area. The initial residential development in the annexed area is actually in the far northeastern portions of the annexed area which is up to 3.5 miles from Station 2. Because of the distance from the St. 2 to this area and barriers presented by existing traffic, it was determined in the planning stages for the annexation that a new station in or adjacent to the area was needed. A six month study (see report dated February 2007 included with this filing) with extensive public meetings and input was conducted to analyze the need for and optimal location of a third station. Five different sites and the feasibility of relocating St. 2 were studied. The site selected is just south of the annexed area and will provide optimal response times to this area as well as other high density housing developments on the north end of the City.

The response area for proposed Station 3 covers a large portion of the KCB TIF area and therefore TIF funds are expected to be used if available to fund the \$3 million cost. In the interim to provide a much lower cost option of serving the annexed area within the required time commitment, the City converted an existing park maintenance building to create a temporary fire station spending about \$600,000 on construction/renovation. The temporary station is about a mile east of the proposed Station 3 location and has reduced run times from 6 minutes to 2.5 minutes, with an ISO standard of 3.5 minutes. A new fire truck (\$441,000) was purchased and

the temporary station was furnished and equipped. TIF and City funds on hand were used to fund these capital expenditures that exceeded \$1,100,000.

Staffing the new station also presents a significant fiscal challenge. The department was staffed with 36 full-time firefighters prior to the annexation (excluding Chief and Inspector), which, on average, allowed for coverage of 10 firefighters per shift. To take the first step in staffing the new station, the department hired three firefighters, shifted staff from other stations just to have the absolute minimum 3 per shift at the new station. There are currently 13 firefighters on a shift for the 3 stations, including the 3 hired in the last half of 2007. The shifting of staff makes it much more difficult to accommodate down time for training, vacation, sickness or other time-off. With time off and a total staff of 13 per shift, the actual number of firemen on duty is still 10 per shift at least 50% of the time. This only provides each station with 3 or 4 firemen per shift. As a result, St. 2 has 4 staff and St. 1 and the new St. 3 only 3 each. This configuration does not meet the minimum 4 firemen per truck staffing requirement of NFPA on each run. In addition, safe practices in fire fighting include always working in pairs which cannot be accomplished at these staffing levels.

Without an increase to the City's maximum levy, they will be unable to continue to fund the wages, benefits and equipping of the three firemen hired in late 2007 or to hire any additional firemen to fully staff the new Station 3. They have already spent approximately \$380,000 of cash reserves for fire services operating costs which are not covered by the levy or other revenue sources.

POLICE SERVICES:

The West Lafayette Police Department had a total staff of 45 prior to this annexation comprised of the following: Chief; Assist. Chief; 2 Captains; 6 Investigators; Evidence Mgr; Dare Officer and 33 patrol officers. In 2008, the Department interviewed public safety officials from 11 other Indiana municipalities with a comparable population or levy. Of the seven with similar populations (average 29,000) the average number of officers was 57.4 and the average of officers per 1,000 residents was 2. The municipalities in the survey with about the same 2008 levy and total budget as West Lafayette had significantly lower populations (average 17,000) but also maintained 2 officers per 1,000 residents. West Lafayette had only 1.3 officers per 1,000 before the annexation. Also the impact of Purdue University must be taken into consideration. The City's census of approximately 30,000 does not include 40,000 university students or the non-resident portion of 7,000 staff that commute daily to work at Purdue. While the Purdue police force has primary responsibility for on campus coverage, the City has primary responsibility for all off-campus areas within the corporate limits (i.e. off-campus housing, restaurants, bars, retail, general traffic). The high volume of students and staff, in addition to full time residents, obviously puts higher demands on the police force that most other municipalities don't contend with.

Policing in the City is organized by patrol districts. Factors for establishing these districts include number of calls for service, nature of calls, geographic size, response time, population density and traffic congestion. The increase in geographical area (31%) from adding 1,173 acres at the far north end of the City limits necessitated establishing a new patrol district (D-4 on

Map), hiring 3 new patrol officers and reconfiguring the existing three patrol districts (D-1;D-2; D-3 on the map). The new four district configuration reported a range of 3,100 to 3,850 calls for service within each district over the first year post annexation (City total 13,564 calls). The additional three police officers that were hired in the last quarter of 2007 boosted the department to a total of 36 patrol officers or 12 on each of the three ten hour shifts. Staffing on each shift varies by day of week and time of day. For example, 2nd and 3rd shift Thursday-Saturday nights would typically be staffed with the most coverage possible. Each shift includes one patrol car in each district, a supervisor and from 1-3 general City patrols (not assigned to a district). This means there are from 5 to 8 officers per shift. An officer works four days of ten hour shifts then has 3 days off. With normal days off plus vacation, holiday and training time, approximately one half of the patrol officers are off at a time. Therefore a pool of 12 officers per shift is required to fill the shift staffing needs summarized here.

As the annexed area develops further, there will be a need for additional police officers. The City has taken strategic advantage of its compact size to be able to operate with lower per capita police personnel than comparable municipalities, but it does not have the capacity to provide services to an expanded area without a levy increase. Specifically, without an increase to the City's maximum levy, they will be unable to continue to fund the wages, benefits and equipping of the three police patrol officers hired in late 2007 to serve the annexed area. They have already spent approximately \$310,000 of cash reserves for policing service operating costs which are not covered by the levy or other revenue sources.

GENERAL SERVICES:

Ten additional street lights and 35 new hydrants were installed to serve the annexed area at an additional annual cost of \$13,864. The annexed area includes 10.6 road miles which the City is maintaining. Incremental operating costs relate mainly to supplies and overtime for snow/signage. Using the street department actual costs and spreading those based on total road miles and types of road miles within the annexation area, the incremental street operating costs for the annexed area total \$35,193. These general services costs cannot continue to be funded without an adjustment to the City's maximum levy.

The detail cost of additional services to the annexed area by department and line item follows:

General Fund - pay 2009 Budget
 Fire Department

	<u>Total Cost</u>	<u>Portion Funded by Appeal</u>
Personal Services		
Salaries - (3 -1st Class)	\$151,889	\$151,889
Health Insurance	23,651	\$23,651
PERF	34,175	\$34,175
FICA	2,202	\$2,202
Life Insurance	387	\$387
Unemployment / Worker's Comp	1,201	\$1,201
Uniform / Clothing Allowance	7,826	\$7,826
Training	1,500	\$1,500
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Sub - Total	<u>222,831</u>	<u>222,831</u>
Supplies		
Gasoline / Diesel	5,380	5,380
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Sub - Total	<u>5,380</u>	<u>5,380</u>
Other Services and Charges		
Vehicle / Bldg Insurance	3,420	3,420
Repairs/Services -Equip, Vehicle, Bldg	7,500	7,500
Temp Fire Station Operating	12,443	12,443
HB 1001 levy reduction - frozen percentage (8.6952%) contribution	26,870	26,870
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Sub - Total	<u>50,233</u>	<u>50,233</u>
Department Total - pay 2009	<u><u>\$278,444</u></u>	<u><u>\$278,444</u></u>

General Fund - pay 2009 Budget
Police Department

	<u>Total Cost</u>	<u>Portion Funded by Appeal</u>
Personal Services		
Salaries - (3 -2nd Class to 1st Class)	\$151,889	\$151,889
Health Insurance	27,962	\$27,962
PERF	34,175	\$34,175
FICA	2,202	\$2,202
Life Insurance	387	\$387
Unemployment / Worker's Comp	2,729	\$2,729
Uniform / Clothing Allowance	6,078	\$6,078
Training	1,500	\$1,500
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Sub - Total	<u>226,921</u>	<u>226,921</u>
Supplies		
Gasoline / Diesel	6,484	6,484
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Sub - Total	<u>6,484</u>	<u>6,484</u>
Other Services and Charges		
Vehicle Insurance	313	313
Vehicle Repair / Maintenance	1,775	1,775
HB 1001 levy reduction - frozen percentage (8.6952%) contribution	26,295	26,295
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Sub - Total	<u>28,383</u>	<u>28,383</u>
Capital Outlay - (Vehicle + Equipment amortized over 4 years)	<u>10,711</u>	<u>10,711</u>
Department Total - pay 2009	<u><u>\$272,499</u></u>	<u><u>\$272,499</u></u>

General Fund - pay 2009 Budget
City Services

	<u>Total Cost</u>	<u>Portion Funded by Appeal</u>
Other Services and Charges		
Street Light Rental - (\$202.17 / month)	\$2,426	\$2,426
Street Light Rental (\$7.40/month/light)	\$888	\$888
Public Hydrant Rental - (\$301.42/hydrant)	\$10,550	\$10,550
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Department Total - pay 2009	<u><u>\$13,864</u></u>	<u><u>\$13,864</u></u>

General Fund - pay 2009 Budget
Street Department

	<u>Total Cost</u>	<u>Portion Funded by Appeal</u>
Other Services and Charges		
Painting	\$770	\$770
Signage	300	300
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Snow Removal	32,418	32,418
Snow Removal - ot labor	1,705	1,705
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Department Total - pay 2009	<u><u>\$35,193</u></u>	<u><u>\$35,193</u></u>

The City funded approximately \$720,000 in salaries, benefits and equipment for the police, fire and street departments for 2007 and 2008 solely from the City's cash reserves. The City will not be able to continue to fund these public service expenditures without this requested levy increase.

City of West Lafayette
Annexation, Consolidation, Extension of Services Appeal

Question #6: Does the total amount requested match the amount in the Fiscal Plans for each annexation (include copies of all annexation resolution/ordinances and any Fiscal Plans for each annexation).

The total cost to fund the annexation was estimated in the Fiscal Plan as approximately \$1,131,000, while the current cost estimation is approximately \$1,279,000. The total cost estimates are substantially the same, with variances between department categories, as illustrated in the following table. The City is asking for \$600,000 for pay 2009 and will expect to request the remaining costs over a phase-in period, as needed by the development of the area. The phase-in option for annexation appeals was not available at the time of the Fiscal Plan; consequently, the amount of the appeal in the Fiscal Plan only included a portion of the total estimated cost of the annexation.

City of West Lafayette

Department	Per Annexation Fiscal Plan dated 11/9/05		Requested Amount for Appeal	
	Comments	Amount	Comments	Amount
Police Protection	5 additional officers over the next 15 year \$68,000 / officer (includes benefits) \$30,000 for equipment in each officer's first year sub-total	<u>\$340,000</u> <u>150,000</u> <u>490,000</u>	3 additional officers hired in last half of 2007 1 additional officer hired in 2011 1 additional officer hired in 2012 sub-total	<u>\$246,204</u> <u>107,463</u> <u>87,220</u> <u>440,887</u>
Fire Protection and Emergency Medical Services	6 additional firefighters \$68,000 / firefighter (includes benefits) sub-total	<u>408,000</u> <u>408,000</u>	3 additional firefighters hired in last half of 2007 3 additional firefighters hired in 2010 3 additional firefighters hired in 2011 permanent fire station operational sub-total	<u>251,574</u> <u>264,030</u> <u>258,708</u> <u>3,510</u> <u>777,822</u>
Street Maintenance	3 additional employees (includes benefits) \$50,000 per year for equipment	<u>150,000</u> <u>50,000</u>	snow plowing; signage; painting	<u>35,193</u>
City services	300 street lights over 15 years 0 hydrants sub-total	<u>32,508</u> <u>232,508</u>	89 street lights over 4 years 75 hydrants over 4 years sub-total	<u>25,570</u> <u>60,763</u>
Solid Waste Disposal	No additional costs			
Parks	No additional costs			
Governmental Administrative Services	"The costs of these services are not directly related to the size and population of the Annexation Area initially; therefore, this Fiscal Plan does not include cost estimates." Total	<u><u>\$1,130,508</u></u>		<u><u>\$1,279,472</u></u>

CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the attached appeal information and supporting documentation is correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signed this _____ day of _____, 2008

Judith C. Rhodes
(Printed Name of Fiscal Officer)

(Signature)

Clerk-Treasurer
(Title)

O.W. Krohn & Associates, LLP
(Printed Name of Financial Advisor)

(Signature)

Forward all information to:
Department of Local Government Finance
Budget Division – Chuck McLean
100 North Senate Avenue, Room N1058
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2211

Notice: All above requested information must be submitted with the appeal. Failure to comply may result in denial of the appeal.

PETITION TO APPEAL FOR AN INCREASE TO THE MAXIMUM LEVY

The ___ Common Council _____ of the ___ City of West Lafayette _____,
(Fiscal/Governing Body) (Taxing Unit)

___ Tippecanoe _____ County, State of Indiana, has determined to file for an excess levy appeal.

(Please check the appropriate excess levy appeal(s) and provide the dollar amount(s) requested:

- | | |
|---|-----------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annexation (IC 6-1.1-18.5-13a(2)) | \$600,000 _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Three Year Growth (IC 6-1.1-18.5-13a(4)) | \$ _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Property Tax Shortfall (IC 6-1.1-18.5-16) | \$ _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Correction of Error (IC 6-1.1-18.5-14) | \$ _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Emergency Levy Appeal (C-1.1-18.5-13) | \$ _____ |

The fiscal/governing body of ___ City of West Lafayette _____, Tippecanoe __ County hereby resolves to proceed

with a petition for an excess levy to the Department of Local Government Finance to increase the taxing unit's maximum levy.

Adopted this _____ day of _____, _____.

FOR

AGAINST

ATTEST: _____

Notice: All above requested information must be submitted with the appeal. Failure to comply may result in denial of the appeal.